

## Other National-Level Enforcement Efforts

### OCC Foreclosure Review

- Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
- “Independent Foreclosure Review” (IFR)
- Deadline = July 31,2012
- Borrowers must request review on official form
- Must show financial injury as a result of errors in foreclosure actions on their homes in 2009 or 2010

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### OCC Foreclosure Review

- Covers 14 subsidiary servicers of banks regulated by the OCC
- America's Servicing Company, Aurora Loan Services, BAC Home Loans Servicing, Bank of America, Beneficial, Chase, Citibank, CitiFinancial, CitiMortgage, Countrywide, EMC, Everbank/Everhome Mortgage Company, Financial Freedom, GMAC Mortgage, HFC, HSBC, IndyMac Mortgage Services, MetLife Bank, National City Mortgage, PNC Mortgage, Sovereign Bank, U.S. Bank, Wachovia Mortgage; Washington Mutual, Wells Fargo; Wilshire Credit Corporation.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### OCC Foreclosure Review

- Each servicer hired its own “independent” consultant to conduct the reviews
- Call 888-952-9105
- [www.federalreserve.gov/consumerinfo/independent-foreclosure-review.htm](http://www.federalreserve.gov/consumerinfo/independent-foreclosure-review.htm)
- [www.occ.gov/independentforeclosurereview](http://www.occ.gov/independentforeclosurereview)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## OCC Foreclosure Review

- Wall Street Journal recently reported:
  - 136,000 people have applied (3% of 4.3 million who were in foreclosure in 2009 or 2010)
  - No borrowers have received compensation
  - Officials haven't agreed on penalties
  - Housing counselors said many borrowers were confused by the application forms mailed out by banks; the forms look like scam letters and confuse consumers.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## HUD Investigation

- HUD's Office of Inspector General
- March 12, 2012 reports on investigation of 5 largest FHA servicers
  - CitiMortgage
  - Ally Financial
    - fyi, GMAC filed ch. 11 bankruptcy in May 2012
  - J.P. Morgan Chase
  - Bank of America
  - Wells Fargo

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## HUD Investigation

- Memorandum of Review, Memorandum No.
  - 2012-KC-1801, Citi
  - 2012-PH-1801, Ally
  - 2012-CH-1801, Chase
  - 2012-FW-1801, Bank of America
  - 2012-AT-1801, Wells Fargo

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## HUD Investigation

- Citi
  - Did not have effective control over its foreclosure process
  - Employees confirmed they signed documents without reviewing/knowing content
  - Notaries did not witness signatures
  - Foreclosure lawyers may have improperly prepared documents

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## HUD Investigation

- Ally
  - Refused to allow interviews with employees
  - Refused to provide requested documents, subpoena produced only 96 of 113 files
  - Did not have effective control over its foreclosure process
  - Outside evidence confirmed employees signed documents without reviewing/knowing content

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## HUD Investigation

- Chase
  - Eliminated quality control division in 2008
  - Out of 36 affidavits reviewed for support for amounts claimed due, in only 4 was supporting information found
  - Of the 4 files with supporting information, 3 were inaccurate re late fees & interest compared to info in Chase's servicing system

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## HUD Investigation

- BoA, Wells
  - More of the same

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Forced-Place Insurance

- Bloomberg News: "Look Who's Pushing Homeowners Off the Foreclosure Cliff", May 6, 2012
  - loss ratio = \$.20 = insurance companies are charging sky-high premiums
  - Banks receive commissions on the policies
  - Banks make even more money by "reinsuring" – take back some of the risk of payouts, which turn out to be low

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Forced-Place Insurance

- American Banker Nov. 9, 2010, "Ties to Insurers Could Land Mortgage Servicers In More Trouble"
  - Cost can be 10 times as much as regular policies, can push borrowers into foreclosure and worsen loss to investors

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Forced-Place Insurance

- Servicers outsource all insurance servicing functions, including monitoring loans and deciding when to force place
- Insurer charges negligible amount for doing this, benefit is the right to place the insurance
- Coverage often far more than debt balance or property value

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Forced-Place Insurance

- AG Settlement
  - Servicers must continue to pay regular insurance if escrowed but lapse in payment
  - Coverage = Greater of replacement value, last known amount of coverage or outstanding loan balance; price = commercially reasonable
  - Reasonable efforts to work w/ borrower to continue or reestablish the existing policy if payments are escrowed

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Forced-Place Insurance

- Fannie Mae "Servicing Guide Announcement SVC-2012-04", March 13, 2012
- Coverage amount
  - loans up to 119 days in default, coverage must be last known coverage amount
  - loans 120 days or more in default, coverage must be changed to the lesser of unpaid principal balance or property value
- Refunds must be made within 15 days of receipt of evidence of coverage from the borrower.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Forced-Place Insurance

- Fannie Mae, Cnt.
- Refunds must be made within 15 days of receipt of evidence of coverage from the borrower
- Servicer must exclude from reimbursement request amounts of commissions, costs for insurance tracking or administration, any other costs beyond the actual cost of the premium

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Forced-Place Insurance

- New York Department of Financial Services t hearings, May 18-21
- Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
  - Regulations in process
- Class action lawsuits

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MARKET SNAPSHOT

U.S.	EUROPE	ASIA	
DJIA	12,118.60	-274.88	-2.22%
S&P 500	1,278.04	-32.29	-2.46%
NASDAQ	2,747.48	-79.86	-2.82%

EUR-USD	1.2434	0.5542%	Nasdaq	2,747.48	-2.82%	DJIA	12,118.60	-2.22%	S&P 500	1,278.04	-2.46%	FTSE 100	5,260.19	-1.14%	STOXX 50	2,068.66
---------	--------	---------	--------	----------	--------	------	-----------	--------	---------	----------	--------	----------	----------	--------	----------	----------

[Our Company](#) | [Professional](#) | [Anywhere](#) |

[Register](#) | [Sign In](#)

[Search News, Quotes and Opinion](#)

# Look Who's Pushing Homeowners Off the Foreclosure Cliff



Illustration by Bloomberg View

By the Editors | May 6, 2012 6:01 PM ET

90 COMMENTS

Q QUEUE

One of the more confounding aspects of the U.S. housing crisis has been the reluctance of lenders to do more to assist troubled borrowers. After all, when homes go into foreclosure, banks lose money.

Now it turns out some lenders haven't merely been unhelpful; their actions have pushed some borrowers over the foreclosure cliff. Lenders have been imposing exorbitant insurance policies on homeowners whose regular coverage lapses or is deemed insufficient. The policies, standard homeowner's insurance or extra coverage for wind damage, say, for Florida residents, typically cost five to 10 times what owners were previously paying, tipping many into foreclosure.

The situation has caught the attention of state regulators and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, which is considering rules to help homeowners avoid unwarranted "force-placed insurance." The U.S. ought to go further and limit commissions, fine any company that knowingly overcharges a homeowner and require banks to seek competitive bids for force-placed insurance policies. Because insurance is not regulated at the federal level, states also need to play a stronger role in bringing down rates.

All mortgages require homeowners to maintain insurance on their property. Most mortgages also allow the lender to purchase insurance for the home and "force-place" it if a policy lapses or is deemed insufficient. These standard provisions are meant to protect the lender's

FOLLOW VIEW EDITORIALS

**MOST POPULAR** | **BLOGS** | **JUST ADDED...**

**History Offers an Ugly Precedent for a Greek Euro Exit**

Q  
By Sean Vanatta | 3 Comments

**Tales of India's Economy Twistier than Kama Sutra**

Q  
By Pankaj Mishra | 25 Comments

**Mark Zuckerberg's Alter Ego Makes Trouble in Russia**

Q  
By Leonid Bershidsky | 3 Comments

**Spanish Banking Rescue Should Become Example for Europe**

Q  
By the Editors | 49 Comments

**One Simple Rule for U.S. Military Intervention**

Q  
By Michael Kinsley | 79 Comments

Advertisement

Key Rates

**MORTGAGE** | HOME EQUITY | SAVINGS | AUTO | CREDIT CARDS

[View rates in your area >](#)

Sponsored Links



Sponsored Links

**Run applications 50x fas...**  
 With Riverbed, run applications 50x faster over the W...  
[www.riverbed.com](http://www.riverbed.com)

**Zurich Liability Coverage**  
 Products & solutions to reduce your risks, costs & co...  
[www.zurichna.com](http://www.zurichna.com)

**Get a FREE Risk Manageme...**  
 With your guide you'll also receive personal advice f...  
[www.rjofutures.com](http://www.rjofutures.com)

[Buy a link](#)

collateral -- the property -- if a calamity occurs.

### High-Priced Policies

Here's how it generally works: Banks and their mortgage servicers strike arrangements -- often exclusive -- with insurance companies in which the banks agree to buy high-priced policies on behalf of homeowners whose coverage has lapsed. The bank advances the premium to the insurer, and the insurer pays the bank a commission, which is priced into the premium. (Insurers say the commissions compensate banks for expenses like "advancing premiums, billing and collections.") The homeowner is then billed for the premium, commissions and all.

It's a lucrative business. Premiums on force-placed insurance exceeded \$5.5 billion in 2010, according to the [Center for Economic Justice](#), a group that advocates on behalf of low- income consumers. An investigation by [Benjamin Lawsky](#), who heads New York State's Department of Financial Services, has found nearly 15 percent of the premiums flow back to the banks.

It doesn't end there. Lenders often get an additional cut of the profits by reinsuring the force-placed policy through the bank's insurance subsidiary. That puts the lender in the conflicted position of requiring insurance to protect its collateral but with a financial incentive to never pay out a claim.

Both New York and [California](#) regulators have found the loss ratio on these policies -- the percentage of premiums paid on claims -- to be significantly lower than what insurers told the state they expected to pay out, suggesting that premiums are too high. For instance, most insurers estimate a loss ratio of 55 percent, meaning they'll have to pay out about 55 cents on the dollar. But actual loss ratios have averaged about 20 percent over the last six years.

It's worth noting that force-placed policies often provide less protection than cheaper policies available on the open market, a fact often not clearly disclosed. The policies generally protect the lender's financial interest, not the homeowner's. If a fire wipes out a house, most force-placed policies would pay only to repair the structure and nothing else.

### Lack of Clarity

Homeowners can obviously avoid force-placed insurance by keeping their coverage current. Banks are required to remove the insurance as soon as a homeowner offers proof of other coverage. But the system, as the New York state investigation and countless lawsuits have demonstrated, is defined by a woeful lack of clarity, so much so that [Fannie](#)

#### NO FEE Reverse Mortgage

FHA-Insured. Get Income for Life. As Seen on CNN News. No Lender Fees  
[GreenlightReverse.com/800.395.1253](http://GreenlightReverse.com/800.395.1253)

#### Dividend Paying Stocks

Dividend ETF w/o Financial Stocks. Avoid Bank Stock Risks. Learn How.  
[WisdomTree.com/DTN-a-Dividend-ETF](http://WisdomTree.com/DTN-a-Dividend-ETF)

#### Top 12 Stocks to Buy Now

Bill Gates recently bought \$571 million of one of these stocks  
[www.StreetAuthority.com](http://www.StreetAuthority.com)

AdChoices

#### Job Search

[Post a Job >](#)

##### Processing Assistant/Jr. Processor

Real Estate Mortgage Network,... - Wilmington, DE

##### DE Underwriter

Real Estate Mortgage Network,... - Mount Laurel, NJ

##### Senior Mortgage Underwriter

Ally Financial - Fort Washington, PA

##### RESPA Auditor

Ally Financial - Fort Washington, PA

##### Mortgage Loan Officer - Santa Clarita Area,...

Ally Financial - Fort Washington, PA

#### Search All Jobs

jobs by [indeed](#)

Advertisement

Advertisements

Sponsored Links

**Trade free for 60 days + Get up to \$600 with TD Ameritrade.**

Mae has issued a [directive](#) to loan servicers to lower insurance costs and speed up removal times. And it said it would no longer reimburse commissions. The recent [settlement](#) with five financial firms over foreclosure abuses also requires banks to limit excessive coverage and ensure policies are purchased "for a commercially reasonable price."

That's not enough. Tougher standards should be applied uniformly, regardless of the loan source. [Freddie Mac](#) should follow Fannie Mae's lead and require competitive pricing on the loans it backs. The consumer bureau should require mortgage servicers to reinstate a homeowner's previous policy whenever possible, or to obtain competitive bids when not.

The bureau should also prevent loan servicers from accepting commissions or, at the very least, prohibit commissions from inflating the premium. It should require servicers to better communicate to borrowers that their policy has lapsed, explain clearly what force-placed insurance will cost and extend a grace period to secure new coverage. Finally, states should follow the example of [California](#), which recently told force-placed insurers to submit lower rates that reflect actual loss ratios.

Many homeowners who experience coverage gaps have severe financial problems that lead them to stop paying their insurance bills. They are already at great risk of foreclosure. Banks and insurers shouldn't be allowed to add to the likelihood of default by artificially inflating the cost of insurance.

Read more opinion online from [Bloomberg View](#).

Today's highlights: the View editors on [bank-capital rules](#); William D. Cohan on [e-mails from the fall of Lehman](#); [Pankaj Mishra](#) on [accusations against the Indian military](#); [Albert R. Hunt](#) on [congressional elections](#); [Michael Ross](#) on [Vladimir Putin's oil-money machinations](#).

To contact the Bloomberg View editorial board: [view@bloomberg.net](mailto:view@bloomberg.net).

90 COMMENTS

Q QUEUE

## ADD NEW COMMENT

[Login](#)

Type your comment here.

Bloomberg moderates all comments. Comments that are abusive or off-topic will not be posted to the site. Excessively long comments may be moderated as well. Bloomberg cannot facilitate requests to remove comments or explain individual moderation decisions.

## SHOWING 1-40 OF 90 COMMENTS ON LOOK WHO'S PUSHING HOMEOWNERS OFF THE FORECLOSURE CLIFF

[Michelle Darnell](#) 2 weeks ago

"After all, when homes go into foreclosure, banks lose money." Is this true?? If you know the answer then you also know why they are not assisting troubled borrowers and are actually pushing borrowers in to default.

[rdbrown1946](#) 3 weeks ago

Big stupid banks, not needed not wanted. I'll take my credit union any day over America's big low life banks.



- American Banker
- The Bond Buyer
- National Mortgage News
- PaymentsSource
- Credit Union Journal
- Leveraged Finance News

more  
banking

- Bank Technology News
- American Banker
- Mortgage Technology
- Mortgage Servicing News
- Origination News
- Collections&Credit Risk
- ISO&Agent

capital markets

- The Bond Buyer
- Asset Securitization Report
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Private Placement Letter

investment advisory

- Financial Planning
- On Wall Street
- Bank Investment Consultant
- Money Management Executive
- Investment Mgt. Mandate Pipeline

accounting & benefits

- Accounting Today
- Employee Benefit News
- Employee Benefit Adviser

technology

- Information Management
- Health Data Management
- Insurance Networking News
- Traders Magazine

<p>A simple phone call offers <b>better protection</b> from online banking fraud.</p>	<p>Download a <b>free whitepaper</b> to learn more.</p>	<p> <a href="#">Get It Here</a> <b>PhoneFactor</b></p>
---	---	---

Dynamic Decision  
Making with  
**PowerCurve™**  


# AMERICAN BANKER.

Sunday, June 3, 2012

- Register for a Free Trial
- Subscribe for Full Access




SEARCH

Today's Paper | Magazine | Bank Technology News | iPad | Video  
 Women in Banking | FinTech 100  
 Log In | My Account | Customer Service

- mergers & acquisitions
- regulation & reform
- community banking
- consumer finance
- bank technology
- bankthink
- special reports
- conferences & events
- data
- resource center

By [Jeff Horwitz](#)  
 NOV 9, 2010 12:00pm ET

## Related Graphic

**Sharing in the Profits**  
 How servicers make money extending force-placed coverage

**Collateralization**  
 To receive liquidation proceeds coverage, the borrower working through a servicer pays policy fees to the insurer.

**Insurer Advances**  
 Servicer advances premiums to insurer.

**Transfer Stage**  
 Transfer stage portion of premium funds to servicer as a commission.

**Servicer Pays**  
 Servicer pays for the policy.

**Insurer Default**  
 If borrower defaults, cost of insurance is subtracted from proceeds to investor from foreclosure sale.

**Reinsurance**  
 To replace liquidation coverage, servicer buys policy for force-placed coverage.

**Servicer Advances**  
 Servicer advances premiums to insurer.

**Substitution of Assets**  
 Substitution of assets (insurance part of the policy) puts a lot of pressure.

**Insurer Submits**  
 Insurer submits loss letter of credit from another party.

**Servicer Pays**  
 Servicer pays for the policy.

**Insurer Default**  
 If borrower defaults, cost of insurance is subtracted from proceeds to investor from foreclosure sale.

## Related Graphic

**Agent, Writer**  
 The top servicers' approaches to force-placed insurance

**Agent**  
 Servicer commissions cover force-placed insurance if works with the company's top.

**Writer**  
 Servicer commissions cover force-placed insurance in its purchase of coverage.

**Agent**  
 Servicer commissions cover force-placed insurance in its purchase of coverage.

**Writer**  
 Servicer commissions cover force-placed insurance in its purchase of coverage.

## Related Links

---

[Losses from Forced-Place Insurance Are Beginning to Rankle Investors](#)

NOVEMBER 10, 2010

[Feedback: Don't Let Bad Actors Tarnish Force-Placed Insurance](#)

NOVEMBER 10, 2010

## Web Seminars

---

[Improve Mortgage Portfolio Performance with Better Analytics](#)

Available On Demand

[Web Seminar: Loan Officer Compensation New Rules](#)

Available On Demand

[Credit Scoring and Mortgage Modifications: What Lenders Need to Know](#)

Available On Demand

# Ties to Insurers Could Land Mortgage Servicers in More Trouble

 [Print](#)

 [Reprints](#)

 [Email](#)

[Tweet](#) 23

[Share](#) 1

[Like](#) 31

+2

**Correction:** *An earlier version of this story incorrectly stated that Bank of America did not comment for the record. The bank's comment is now included.*

When banks buy insurance on the homes of borrowers whose policies have lapsed, they get a great deal. Just not for the homeowners and investors who have to pay for it.

Nominally purchased to protect the owners of mortgage-backed securities, such "force-placed" insurance can be 10 times as costly as regular policies, raising struggling homeowners' debt loads, pushing them toward foreclosure — and worsening the loss to investors on each defaulted loan.

Evidence of abuses and self-dealing in the force-placed insurance industry suggests that there may be far larger problems in how servicers are handling distressed loans than the sloppy document recording that has been the recent focus of industry woes.

Behind banks' servicing insurance practices lie conflicts of interest that align servicers and their insurer partners against borrowers and investors. Bank of America Corp. owns a force-placed insurance subsidiary, and most other major servicers receive commissions or reinsurance fees on the very same policies they purchase on investors' and borrowers' behalf.

"There's no arm's-length transaction here, and that creates all sorts of incentives for the servicer to force-place excessive insurance and overcharge consumers for policies that provide minimal benefit," said Diane Thompson, of counsel for the National Consumer Law Center. "Servicers and insurers have turned this into a gravy train."

Sometimes the arrangements resemble simple kickbacks: Court documents show that a subsidiary of the country's largest specialty insurer paid undisclosed "commissions" for the rights to a servicer's force-placed business.

State court filings show alleged abuse in which banks charged borrowers for unnecessary insurance and backdated policies providing coverage retroactively. Often the insurance was acquired only after banks stopped advancing the premiums of

delinquent borrowers' escrowed policies, causing those cheaper and more comprehensive policies to expire. In response to questions from American Banker, federal and state officials said that some practices that industry trade groups defend may not be legal.

"It is clear that [the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act] prohibits fee splitting and unearned fees for services that are not performed," said Brian Sullivan, spokesman for the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Foreclosure defense and legal aid attorneys say force-placed insurance is found on most of the severely delinquent loans in this country. If so, the cost to investors may well be in the billions of dollars.

"This is clearly not in the investors' interest," said Amherst Securities analyst Laurie Goodman, who in May noted the potential for misconduct with Bank of America's force-placed-insurer subsidiary, Balboa Insurance Group. "Servicers are getting a huge chunk of money from force-placed insurance, and investors pay for it by higher loss severity at the liquidation of the loan."

With little regulatory oversight or even private investor awareness, force-placed insurance has helped make drawn-out foreclosures lucrative for servicers — far more so, in some cases, than helping a borrower return to performing status. As the intermediary between borrower and investor, servicers appear to be benefiting themselves at the expense of both.

### **Backdated Coverage**

When attorney Jeffrey Golant took on his first forced-placement case, he thought he was looking at an administrative mistake.

A solo practitioner in Pompano Beach, Fla., Golant splits his time between foreclosure defense and insurance cases. He had been referred his first forced-placement case by his mother, Margery Golant — also a longtime foreclosure defense attorney — in May of 2008 when the dispute veered into insurance territory.

The problems should have been quick to sort out, Golant recalls. His client was current on her mortgage and claimed the lapse of insurance coverage on her home was the result of her previous insurer's error. Much of the new policy's coverage was redundant, Golant said, duplicating flood and wind policies that had remained in place. Moreover, billing her for expensive retroactive hurricane protection, for a year when there had been no significant storms, struck Golant as inherently ridiculous.

"I really thought they'd added an extra digit," he said.

But the servicer that had requested the policy — nominally Zions Bancorp., though like many regional banks, the company outsources its servicing and does not involve itself in loan-level decisions — wouldn't back down. The backdating was appropriate because "Had there been damage to your property during the uninsured time ... you would have benefited significantly," the servicer said in one letter.

The Mortgage Bankers Association told American Banker that retroactive coverage is necessary to prevent gaps in insurance. But asked for an opinion on backdating a policy by nine months, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners told American Banker that insurance is "prospective in nature." Therefore, policies "should not be back-dated to collect premiums for a time period that has already passed," the trade group for state insurance regulators said.

The case got stranger when Golant's client visited the address listed for the insurer in an unsuccessful attempt to sort things out, he said. While the people there claimed to represent the servicer, they were operating out of an office belonging to a force-placed policy insurer since acquired by QBE Insurance Group.

Golant didn't understand why the insurer would be speaking on behalf of the servicer. But shortly after he began asking questions about the relationship between servicer and insurer, the case settled. Confidentially. At the insurer's request.

With the matter resolved, it would have made sense for a Florida solo practitioner who handles as many as 50 cases at any one time to move on. Golant, however, started investigating the connections between multibillion-dollar banks and specialty insurers.

"Frankly, it was their speed and willingness to settle that made me think they were not at all confident about the arrangement," Golant said. "I was still in the dark. But I got curious."

Collaborating with his mother, Golant says he began to take on more force-placed insurance cases, often agreeing to bill the borrower only if he won. Cases he pointed out to *American Banker* show no shortage of questionable alleged practices. In some instances, servicers force-placed insurance on borrowers in excess of their mortgage's face value and property's overall worth. (A representative of the Mortgage Bankers Association said the industry often is required to reinsure a property up to the cost of its replacement value, even if that's in excess of the mortgage.)

In other instances, servicers force-placed duplicative insurance on residents of condominium associations that insured their members' properties. In yet more, servicers had stopped advancing the premiums for a delinquent borrower's escrowed private insurance, allowing it to expire. When it did, they bought far more expensive force-placed insurance to replace it, and began advancing the premiums on that. This — a common practice, Golant says — was not just harmful to borrowers. It was inexplicable from the point of view of protecting investors.

What all the cases had in common was astronomically priced force-placed insurance, he said. There is no doubt that borrowers who aren't paying for their own insurance pose a heightened insurance risk. But servicers were billing Golant's clients for policies that cost as much as 10 times as much as the insurance they replaced. In one example confirmed by *American Banker*, an \$80,000 property standing on a \$40,000 lot was force-placed with a policy costing \$10,000. Put another way, one year of insurance payments would strip away 13% of the structure's total value.

It wasn't just off-brand and subprime servicers who were tacking the high-priced policies on to borrowers' mortgage bills. It was the country's biggest banks, from JPMorgan Chase & Co. to Wachovia, now part of Wells Fargo & Co. Why did they seem so eager to purchase high-priced insurance on the homes of already struggling borrowers?

Golant got his answer in a case in which EverBank Financial Corp's servicing arm had allegedly allowed a borrower's \$4,000 escrowed insurance to lapse in error and then replaced it with a policy costing more than \$33,000. In response to written questions, a subsidiary of Assurant, one of the country's biggest specialty insurers, revealed that it hadn't kept all the money that EverBank's servicing operation had paid it. Instead it immediately paid EverInsurance, the servicer's subsidiary, a \$7,100 "commission" and left the door open to further compensation.

For Golant, this set off alarm bells: instead of trying to place affordable insurance on his client's home, EverBank had taken what was at minimum a \$7,100 cut. That was more money than EverBank would ever collect from actually servicing the loan, and EverBank had done nothing to earn it — except let Assurant write a high-priced policy on his client's house. (According to the MBA data cited by *MortgageDaily.com*, servicers earned on average \$51 per loan last year.)

"The 'commissions' that [Assurant] paid ... were in fact kickbacks," the attorney wrote in a complaint still being litigated. "This incentivized EverHome to select the most expensive force-placed coverage available, and to force-place insurance as frequently as possible."

EverBank is in the process of going public, and could not comment because of SEC restrictions on its public statements, a spokeswoman for the bank said. But, at least in substance, its relationship with an insurer is hardly unique. Agreements providing banks with commissions on force-placed insurance appear to be standard for many players, including one of the country's largest — Wells Fargo.

Wells declined an on-record interview for this story, though it acknowledged relationships with three force-placed insurers and provided other guidance. JPMorgan Chase did not speak on record with *American Banker*. A spokesman for Bank of America said by email it buys forced-placed insurance only "after several attempts have been made to notify borrowers that their insurance has lapsed and to remind them of their obligation to maintain continuous coverage." B of A is seeking a buyer for Balboa, which it inherited with its 2008 acquisition of Countrywide Financial Corp.

But the MBA defended commissions on force-placed business. It is common practice for an insurer to pay fees for business referrals, said Vicki Vidal, a vice president at the trade group. Asked if those commissions inflated the price that homeowners or investors ultimately paid, she said they did not.

"The rate is separate from the commission," Vidal said, adding that state insurance regulators can set limits on what premiums are allowed.

### **Circular Arrangement**

Commission fees aren't the only way major servicers profit on the force-placed policies in their portfolio. Some, including JPMorgan Chase, have adopted a roughly equivalent if slightly more sophisticated method of profiting from force-placed

reinsurance: They reinsure it.

Evidence of the practice comes from Assurant's Securities and Exchange Commission filings as well as the banking companies' boilerplate language for notifying borrowers of their intent to bill them for a force-placed policy.

JPMorgan Chase's force-placed insurance "will have significantly higher premiums than standard insurance premiums," the banking company noted in one letter to a borrower last year, and "Some or all of these premiums will be reinsured through an insurance company that is an affiliate of Chase."

JPMorgan Chase declined to specify what insurer it typically works with, but Assurant's annual report describes precisely such a relationship from an insurer's perspective. In an effort to align its interests with its servicer customers, the company will often reinsure the policies it writes with the same servicer that requested them.

"Such arrangements allow significant flexibility in structuring the sharing of risks and profits on the underlying business," Assurant notes.

The interests of the two parties are so aligned, in fact, that in many cases there ceases to be a clear difference between the entity purchasing insurance and the entity selling it.

According to both Assurant's SEC filings and the marketing materials of a subsidiary of rival QBE Insurance Group, the insurers don't just write policies on request — they also enter into long-term agreements to detect uninsured properties in their clients' servicing portfolios and perform other back-office functions. It was precisely such an arrangement that Golant's first client ran into when she tried to visit her servicer, he says — the insurance company employees had taken over the servicer's role.

Like the direct commission payments that Golant discovered, reinsurance deals cut servicers in on insurer profits. They also come with another potential advantage for the insurer: they provide an incentive for the servicer not to pursue claims, creating an apparent conflict of interest. As a representative of investors, the servicer should vigorously pursue any and all claims arising from its forcibly insured portfolio. But because the servicer reinsures the policies it purchases, any claims it brings could potentially come out of its own profits.

For insurers, opening the door to this circular arrangement has its drawbacks. Assurant warns in investor filings that having reinsuring business with the client that provided the policy, rather than a reinsurer of the company's own choosing, can pose a credit risk: the bank fails to eat its share of claims. Assurant mitigates this danger, it says, by requiring some of its client-counterparties to obtain letters of credit from other financial institutions.

The more vexing issue for Assurant, however, seems to be that giving the servicers part of the deal leaves less for the company. In a section discussing risks arising from servicer industry consolidation, Assurant warns that giant servicers are compressing margins and that it may receive a lower share of premiums if it provides them with bigger reinsurance deals.

Nonetheless, Assurant sees force-placed insurance as its future. The unit handling force-placed insurance has accounted for \$811 million of its \$879 million in profits during the last two years, and its "business strategy is to pursue long-term growth in creditor-placed homeowners insurance" as well as expand that business into auto insurance and related areas.

Assurant declined to be interviewed for this story, and did not address questions on conflict of interest concerns. But the company, which also provides health, corporate and other types of insurance, issued a statement defending its general force-placed business practices.

"Lender-placed insurance programs are regulated in all states and we comply with those regulations," the statement reads in part. "We believe our rates to be among the lowest in the industry."

QBE Insurance, the parent of the insurer involved in Golant's first force-placed case, did not respond to requests for comment.

The MBA's Vidal denied that reinsurance deals posed a conflict of interest, suggesting they may only cover catastrophic losses.



"Don't get the impression that everyone has reinsurance capacity," she said. "But to the extent they do, they're obviously getting paid for absorbing a portion of the risk."

### **"No Incentive" To Shop**

Force-placed insurance isn't inherently objectionable. It makes sense that the coverage's price must be higher than on voluntarily purchased insurance to account for a higher risk — if a hurricane comes through, a homeowner with a stake in a property is more likely to board up the windows than one in foreclosure. In many states regulators provide at least some oversight and limits on acceptable rates. Moreover, force-placing insurance isn't optional for servicers: investor contracts usually require it.

"Realistically, we're doing whatever investors are telling us to do," Vidal said.

But it would be possible for servicers to treat force-placing as a last-ditch form of investor protection conducted at the lowest cost possible. Instead, they have consistently chosen force-placed insurance deals that reward themselves at the expense of their clients — and have sometimes given insurers unfettered access to write policies on their portfolios. Banks have put little effort into justifying their force-placed practices because they were rarely asked about them.

"[T]he cost may be considerably more expensive than insurance you can obtain," says a September letter from SunTrust Banks Inc.'s mortgage unit to a borrower, which cites the baffling — but often repeated — line that high prices are necessary because the policy is underwritten "without inspection." (As a general matter, insurers do not routinely inspect residential properties in the course of underwriting, according to Thompson of the National Consumer Law Center, though a representative of one large insurer told American Banker that it always reserves the right to.) SunTrust declined to comment for this story.

Such practices have been in place for years, yet force-placed insurance has received very little attention outside of the servicing industry. Given the current volume of foreclosure activity and the unprecedented attention that ground-level servicing operations are now receiving, however, that could change.

The Dodd-Frank Act stipulates that charges for force-placed insurance must be "bona fide and reasonable," and correspondence with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners suggest that state regulators are aware of the potential for conflicts of interest in insurer selection. Asked whether pricing in the field is competitive, a representative of the NAIC responded that servicers have "no incentive to select a competitively priced product, but instead would be more concerned with selecting one they know best protects the bank's interests or one where they are provided with an incentive or inducement to enter into the transaction."

In response to a query by American Banker on possible interest in the force-placed insurance market, the Office of the U.S. Trustee, which oversees bankruptcy trustees and the administration of cases, referred without comment to an Indiana bankruptcy case in which it has asked Wells Fargo to produce documents "that support the belief that Debtors had failed to maintain insurance" and "premium notices; invoices; canceled checks; policies of insurance; insurance binders; and, requests for quotes or bids for insurance."

Wells is obligated to respond later this month.

Neither the U.S. Trustee's query of Wells nor the views of other regulators have filtered down to ground-level servicing practices, said consumer advocates like Thompson.

Golant, who hopes to begin deposing insurance industry officials in his force-placed cases within a few months, said he didn't believe the industry would willingly accept change.

"The banks' profits aren't connected to the performance of the loan," he said. "The people making policy, thinking the servicers are part of the solution to the foreclosure problem, need to understand that."

## [Mortgages](#)

**btn** **BANK TECHNOLOGY NEWS** AMERICAN BANKER  
 Technology eNewsletters now delivered daily. [SIGN UP](#)

**Multimedia**



New England Deal Highlights the Dangers of Dilution



Comments of the Week



Mobile Banker of the Year, Citi's Tracey Weber, Discusses Device Choices

**Time for an upgrade?**

Read our latest **FS Viewpoint on commercial lending transformation** ▶



\*PwC\* refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

**American Banker Sponsored Insights**

**iPad in Business: Apps in Business - 6/14/2012**  
 Please join us at this exclusive live online seminar. It'll take ab...

**The Future of Work (and its effects on your travel program)**  
 Egencia polled Travel Managers and business travelers to learn how ...

**IBM Innovations in Smarter Analytics Virtual Launch**  
 Come learn how the IBM portfolio of Business Analytics solutions, i...

[See More Insights](#)

**Survey**

**Now is a great time to buy a bank. That was the message from Wilbur Ross and others at *American Banker's***

### recent M&A conference. Are they right?

Yes — Valuations are low and a growing number of institutions are desperate to do a deal  
27%

No — Regulatory and economic uncertainty make it impossible to know what you're buying  
26%

It depends — It's a tricky environment to prosper in, but bankers who think creatively and cut costs mercilessly will ultimately succeed  
48%

Most Read  
Emailed

■ Past surveys

- ATM Lawsuits Draw Banks into Disabilities Act Mire
- FAQ: Inside the Firing of Fincen's Director — And How it Affects Banks
- Wells, B of A and JPM Look to Shake Up P-to-P Payments
- CFPB Delays Long-Awaited QM Rule Until 'End of 2012'

### Email Newsletters

Get the Daily Briefing and the Morning Update when you sign up for a free trial.

REGISTER



Twitter



Facebook



LinkedIn



**about 21 hours ago** - RT @stlouisfed Is the "sovereign debt crisis" all Greek to you? Get the story in plain English in our annual report <http://t.co/EHB1Ufjk>



**about 21 hours ago** - RT @WBPubs A pocket edition of the #GlobalFindex provides country-level indicators on the use of bank accounts & payment behaviors <http://t.co/vM1q3wty>



**about a day ago** - Royal Financial Reports Quarterly Loss on Higher Expenses <http://t.co/OsAuX3Kv>

Follow @AmerBanker 8,480 followers

How do 17 of the 22 FORTUNE® 100 financial institutions solve their critical business problems?  
**They ask Protiviti.**



**protiviti**<sup>®</sup>  
Risk & Business Consulting.  
Internal Audit.

[FIND OUT >](#)

EOE



Better managed liquidity?

We can help make it happen.

**BMO**  **Harris Bank**

[SEE HOW ▶](#)

Collateral Protection Insurance



**STATE NATIONAL COMPANIES**

**Know.**

Interested in merchant lending? [LEARN MORE](#)

risk  redefined



Experian

## Payments

- Bank Deals with Colleges Costly to Students — U.S. PIRG
- Angry Birds Shoot for Plastic

- [MasterCard CEO Says Card Volumes Increased in 2Q](#)
- [Alliance Data Signs Credit Card Deal with Furniture Store](#)

## Retail Delivery

- [In Lieu of Branches, Bespoke Bankers](#)
- [Mortgage Lenders Face Vendor Compliance Risk](#)
- [Credit Card Loss Rates Defy Forecasts, Head for New Lows](#)
- [State Bank & Trust in N.D. Switching to Less Generic Name](#)

## Markets

- [Financial Shares Tumble After Weak Jobs Report](#)
- [Is it Hot in Cleveland?](#)
- [The Buck Stops Here: Director Expertise at the Big Four](#)
- [The S Corp Edge: It's Not Just Taxes](#)

## Mortgage

- [Mortgage Jobs Fell in April](#)
- [Loan Purchases by the GSEs Plunge](#)
- [HARP Refis Skyrocket in 1Q](#)
- [Do-It-Yourself Mortgages](#)

## National

- [Financial Shares Tumble After Weak Jobs Report](#)
- [Tilting at Windmills; The Point of a House; JPM Gets Served](#)
- [SocGen CEO: Too Soon for E.U. Banking Union](#)
- [Back Porch](#)

## Wealth Management

- [FBR Considering Sale of Asset-Management Unit](#)
- [Morgan Stanley Aims to Raise Stake in Smith Barney](#)
- [Infographic: CD Watch](#)
- [Infographic: Weekly Time-Deposit Trends](#)

## Washington

- [Dodd-Frank's Say on Pay Rules Pose Triple Threat to Directors](#)
- [The Buck Stops Here: Director Expertise at the Big Four](#)
- [The S Corp Edge: It's Not Just Taxes](#)
- [House Panel Approves Emigrant Bank Bill](#)

## Mobile Banker

- [Mobile Banker of the Year, Citi's Tracey Weber, Discusses Device Choices](#)
- [Innovator Incubator](#)
- [An Early View of Tablets](#)
- [Monetizing Mobile Check Deposit](#)

## Web

### Seminars

[The New Subprime Definition: Who is subprime now? How much subprime is in your portfolio?](#)  
[Improve Your Customer Engagement Model in Financial Services](#)  
[Breaking the Banks: Declining Performance in the Reputation Economy](#)

## Conferences & Events

6th Annual Mobile Banking & Commerce Summit

June 10-12, 2012

Westin St. Francis, San Francisco, CA

[Mentor Factor - San Francisco](#)

June 12, 2012

Westin St. Francis, San Francisco, CA

7th Annual Underbanked Financial Services Forum

June 13-15, 2012

Westin St. Francis, San Francisco, CA

**Social Media for Financial Institutions**  
 What's your strategy?  
**The Exchange.**

**AMERICAN BANKER.**  
 News, analysis, and tools  
**All at your fingertips**  
 + CLICK HERE

5 must-read articles on banks, big data and high-performance analytics.  
 CLICK TO LEARN MORE  
**sas.**  
 THE POWER TO KNOW.

- [HOME](#)
- [About Us](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Advertise with Us](#)
- [Subscribe](#)
- [Reprints](#)
- [Customer Service](#)
- [Feedback](#)
- [My Account](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [Subscription Agreement](#)



**sourcemia**  
**corporate site**

## banking

- [American Banker](#)
- [Bank Technology News](#)
- [American Banker Magazine](#)
- [National Mortgage News](#)
- [Mortgage Technology](#)

- [Mortgage Servicing News](#)
- [Origination News](#)
- [Credit Union Journal](#)
- [PaymentsSource](#)
- [Collections & Credit Risk](#)
- [ISO & Agent](#)

## **capital markets**

- [The Bond Buyer](#)
- [Asset Securitization Report](#)
- [Mergers & Acquisitions](#)
- [Leveraged Finance News](#)
- [Private Placement Letter](#)

## **technology**

- [Information Management](#)
- [Health Data Management](#)
- [Insurance Networking News](#)
- [Traders Magazine](#)
- [Securities Technology Monitor](#)

## **accounting & benefits**

- [Accounting Today](#)
- [Employee Benefit News](#)
- [Employee Benefit Adviser](#)

## **investment advisory**

- [Financial Planning](#)
- [On Wall Street](#)
- [Bank Investment Consultant](#)
- [Money Management Executive](#)
- [Investment Mgt. Mandate Pipeline](#)

© 2012 American Banker and SourceMedia, Inc. All Rights Reserved. SourceMedia is an Investcorp company. Use, duplication, or sale of this service, or data contained herein, except as described in the Subscription Agreement, is strictly prohibited.